

## **Supply Base Report:**

# Scandbio Latvia SIA Re-assessment

**Sustainable Biomass Program** sbp-cert.org





# Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 2.2 and SBP Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413 (REDIII)

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

#### **Document history**

Version 1.0	Published 26 March 2015
Version 2.0	Published 10 August 2023
Version 2.1	Published 15 April 2024
Version 2.2	Published 21 May 2025

<sup>©</sup> Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2025



#### **Table of contents**

#### 1 Overview

#### 2 Description of the Biomass Producer and the Supply Base

- 2.1 Description of the company
- 2.2 Detailed description of the Supply Base
- 2.3 Feedstock information

#### 3 Supply Base Risk Assessments and Risk Management Measures

- 3.1 Summary of the Supply Base Evaluation
- 3.2 Conflicts with applicable national and sub-national legislation
- 3.3 Risk Management Measures

#### 4 Stakeholder engagement

- 4.1 General description
- 4.2 Response to stakeholder comments

#### **5 Report updates and approval**

**Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators** 

**Annex 2: EU RED Supply Base Evaluation** 

Annex 3: SBP Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements

Annex 4: EU RED detailed findings for Trees Outside Forest (TOF) feedstock



Overview	
Producer name:	Scandbio Latvia SIA
Producer address:	"Griķi", LV-3285 Talsu district, Laucienes parish, Latvia
SBP Certificate Code:	SBP-01-01
Geographic position:	57.244200, 22.830400
Primary contact:	llze Ļutjanska, +371 251 582 41, ilze.lutjanska@scandbio.com
Company website:	www.scandbio.lv
Date report finalised:	26 Jun 2025
SBR reporting period from:	01 Apr 2024
SBR reporting period to:	31 Mar 2025
Name of the Certification Body:	Preferred by Nature OÜ
Certification Body Approval date:	
SBP Standard(s) used:	SBP Standard 2: Feedstock Verification v2.0, SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody v2.0, SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data v2.0, Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon data. v2.1, Instruction Document EU RED: Bridging Requirements for Meeting the Directive EU/2023/2413 v2.0
Feedstock origin (countries)	Latvia, Lithuania, Norway, Estonia, Sweden, Finland
Weblink to Standard(s) used:	https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards





#### 2 Description of the Biomass Producer and the Supply Base

#### 2.1 Description of the company

SIA "Scandbio Latvia" is a pellet producer located in Latvia, Talsi district, Lauciene parish, "Griķi". The company produces pellets and can transport them worldwide and can also procure and sell other SBP-certified BP-produced pellets. The company outsources storage and stevedoring services.

SIA "Scandbio Latvia" is a subsidiary of "Scandbio AB".

SIA "Scandbio Latvia" is certified in accordance with 7 (seven) certification schemes. More information about the company's certificates is available on the website https://www.scandbio.com/lv/par-mums/ilgtspejiba/

The company's pellets are produced in accordance with the highest quality and sustainability criteria. Continuous process improvement is carried out to the extent possible to improve energy performance, while adhering to strict production standards and high ethical principles.

Products included in the scope of SBP Certification: Pellets

Number of employees: 25

Annual maximum production capacity (metric tonnes): 80000

Number of direct feedstock suppliers: 18

Approximate number of feedstock sub-suppliers: 5

#### Description of the chain-of-custody and upstream supply chain:

SIA "Scandbio Latvia" sources feedstock from suppliers located only in Latvia.

Processing residues are procured from woodworking enterprises that mainly produce sawn materials and other wood products.

SIA "Scandbio Latvia" requests its suppliers to provide information about wood origin and legal procurement documents. As a priority, receiving raw material from suppliers processing residues must be FSC or PEFC-certified. Companies that purchase roundwood or sawn timber for processing from Latvia, Lithuania, Finland, Norway, Estonia and Sweden, requires roundwood or sawn timber to be FSC-certified or PEFC-certified. This ensures that processing residues comply with FSC and PEFC certifications, respectively SBP requirements.

#### 2.2 Detailed description of the Supply Base

Guidance: Tables below have been generated automatically for each sourcing country based on the selection of 'Feedstock origin (countries)' in section 1 above.

Annex 1 is generated by the system if the SBP SBE is used without Regional Risk Assessment(s) (RRAs). In case RRA(s) is used, further details shall be given only in section 3 below.

Annex 2 is generated if EU RED SBE is in the scope for each country separately.




Country	Latvia	
Area/Region		
Exclusions		
Feedstock types	Processing residues	
Feedstock Product Groups	Processing residues feedstock (4A)	
Feedstock inputs	SBP Compliant feedstock , SBP Controlled feedstock	
Is the forest managed to supply energy and non-energy markets?	Yes - Majority	
For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling?	Yes - Majority	
Risk assessment(s)	N/A – Primary and/or Processing residues certified to an SBP- recognised controlled scheme	
Provide a concise summary of why a SBE was determined to be required or not required here:		
SBE not required as all material is Processing residues and certified by FSC or PEFC.		
Feedstock types included in SBE:	N/A	
Includes EU RED SBE:	No	
Includes EU RED TOF:	No	
Size of Supply Base area (million ha):	3.4146	
Map(s) of the Supply Base area:		





Country	Lithuania	
Area/Region		
Exclusions		
Feedstock types	Processing residues	
Feedstock Product Groups	Processing residues feedstock (4A)	
Feedstock inputs	SBP Compliant feedstock , SBP Controlled feedstock	
Is the forest managed to supply energy and non-energy markets?	Yes - Majority	
For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling?	Yes - Majority	
Risk assessment(s)	N/A – Primary and/or Processing residues certified to an SBP- recognised controlled scheme	
Provide a concise summary of why a SBE was determined to be required or not required here:		
SBE not required as all material is Processing residues and certified by FSC or PEFC.		
Feedstock types included in SBE:	N/A	
Includes EU RED SBE:	No	
Includes EU RED TOF:	No	





Country	Norway
Area/Region	
Exclusions	
Feedstock types	Processing residues
Feedstock Product Groups	Processing residues feedstock (4A)
Feedstock inputs	SBP Compliant feedstock , SBP Controlled feedstock
Is the forest managed to supply energy and non-energy markets?	Yes - Majority
For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling?	Yes - Majority
Risk assessment(s)	N/A – Primary and/or Processing residues certified to an SBP- recognised controlled scheme



Provide a concise summary of why a SBE was determined to be required or not required here:	
SBE not required as all material is Processing residues and certified by FSC or PEFC.	
Feedstock types included in SBE:	N/A
Includes EU RED SBE:	No
Includes EU RED TOF:	No
Size of Supply Base area (million ha):	12.1280
Map(s) of the Supply Base area:	





Country	Estonia
Area/Region	
Exclusions	



Feedstock types	Processing residues
Feedstock Product Groups	Processing residues feedstock (4A)
Feedstock inputs	SBP Compliant feedstock
Is the forest managed to supply energy and non-energy markets?	Yes - Majority
For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling?	Yes - Majority
Risk assessment(s)	N/A – Primary and/or Processing residues certified to an SBP- recognised controlled scheme

#### Provide a concise summary of why a SBE was determined to be required or not required here:

SBE not required as all material is Processing residues and certified by FSC or PEFC.

Feedstock types included in SBE:	N/A
Includes EU RED SBE:	No
Includes EU RED TOF:	No
Size of Supply Base area (million ha):	2.4384

#### Map(s) of the Supply Base area:





Country	Sweden	
Area/Region		
Exclusions		
Feedstock types	Processing residues	
Feedstock Product Groups	Processing residues feedstock (4A)	
Feedstock inputs	SBP Compliant feedstock	
Is the forest managed to supply energy and non-energy markets?	Yes - Majority	
For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling?	Yes - Majority	
Risk assessment(s)	N/A – Primary and/or Processing residues certified to an SBP- recognised controlled scheme	
Provide a concise summary of why a SBE was determined to be required or not required here:		
SBE not required as all material is Processing residues and certified by FSC or PEFC.		
Feedstock types included in SBE:	N/A	
Includes EU RED SBE:	No	
Includes EU RED TOF:	No	
Size of Supply Base area (million ha):	27.9800	
Map(s) of the Supply Base area:		





Country	Finland
Area/Region	
Exclusions	



Feedstock types	Processing residues	
Feedstock Product Groups	Processing residues feedstock (4A)	
Feedstock inputs	SBP Compliant feedstock	
Is the forest managed to supply energy and non-energy markets?	Yes - Majority	
For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling?	Yes - Majority	
Risk assessment(s)	N/A – Primary and/or Processing residues certified to an SBP- recognised controlled scheme	
Provide a concise summary of why a SBE was determined to be required or not required here:		
SBE not required as all material is Processing residues and certified by FSC or PEFC.		
Feedstock types included in SBE:	N/A	
Includes EU RED SBE:	No	
Includes EU RED TOF:	No	
Size of Supply Base area (million ha):	22.4090	
Map(s) of the Supply Base area:		









#### 2.3 Feedstock information

- a. Total volume of Feedstock: 400,000-600,000 m3
- b. Volume of primary feedstock: 0
- c. List of all the species in primary feedstock, including scientific name:

Pinus sylvestris Scots pine

Picea abies Norway spruce

Betula pubescens Downy birch

Betula pendula Silver birch

Quercus robur Oak

Populus tremula Aspen

Alnus incana Grey alder

Alnus glutinosa Black alder

Salix alba Willow

Fraxinus excelsior Ash

d. Was the feedstock used in the biomass removed from a forest as part of a pest/disease control measure or a salvage operation? N/A

**Explanation:** 

- e. Hardwood (i.e. broadleaf trees): specify proportion of feedstock from (%): 12.00
- f. Softwood (i.e. coniferous trees): specify proportion of feedstock from (%): 88.00
- g. Proportion of feedstock composed of or derived from saw logs by weight (%): 0.00
- **h.** Indicate how you determine the proportion of saw log: Specification issued by a body exercising functions of a public nature and issued for use by sawmills in the area in which the wood was grown.
- i. Roundwood from fellings from forests with > 40 yr rotation times Average % volume of fellings delivered to BP (%): 0.00
- j. Select forest type(s) where the primary feedstock was sourced from: Mix of The Above
- k. Select the main harvesting system(s) used for the sourced primary feedstock: Mix of the above
- I. Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest:
- m. Volume of processing residues feedstock: 400,000-600,000 m3 Physical form of the feedstock: Chips, Sawdust, Other (specify)
- n. Share of SBP-recognised system claim for processing residues:

80 % FSC

20 % PEFC

o. Volume of post-consumer feedstock: 0

Physical form of the feedstock: Chips, Sawdust, Other (specify)



- p. Estimated amount of EU RED-compliant sustainable feedstock that could be collected annually by the BP:  $99\ \%$
- q. What is the estimated amount of EU RED-compliant sustainable feedstock that could be harvested annually in a Supply Base (estimated): 188641000.00 m3

**Explanation**: Based on Roundwood production Eurostat statistic about Year 2022 in Supply Base Countries.



### 3 Supply Base Risk Assessments and Risk Management Measures

Guidance: Biomass Producers shall demonstrate that any specified risks of sourcing feedstock not in compliance with SBP Standard 1 have been adequately reduced to low risk, following Standard 2 requirements. Following section applies to Biomass Producer's implementing SBP Supply Base Evaluation (SBP RRA or company own risk assessment). EU RED Supply Base Evaluation details are reported in Annex 2.

- ☑ Not Applicable Supply Base Evaluation not implemented
- 3.1 Summary of the Supply Base Evaluation
- 3.2 Conflicts with applicable national and sub-national legislation

#### 3.3 Risk Management Measures

Guidance: Please provide more details about specified risk indicators in each supply country and describe mitigation measures taken to address all specified risks associated with indicators.



#### 4 Stakeholder engagement

#### 4.1 General description

Biomass Producer's stakeholder engagement start date: 27 May 2025

Biomass Producer's stakeholder engagement end date: 26 Jun 2025

Total number of stakeholders contacted: 18

Give a general description of the process of Stakeholders Engagement, including stakeholders contacted, method of communication and a summary of the comments received:

SIA "Scandbio Latvia" performed SEP in accordance with SBP Standard 4.

During company's SEP a list of stakeholders was developed so that it included both industry associations, state institutions, wood processing companies, local entrepreneurs, local and regional government agencies, and local residents. The total number of stakeholders surveyed is 18. Some of the stakeholders represent multiple roles at the same time. During the public consultation with stakeholders was communicated through e-mail with added description of SIA "Scandbio Latvia" operations.

One comment and two confirmations about received e-mail were received from stakeholders. Response to comment was not required as it was with no questions or issues identified.

#### 4.2 Response to stakeholder comments

Stakeholder description: State institutions

Stakeholder comment: Godājamais sadarbības partner! Valsts ienēmumu dienesta vārdā izsaku Jums pateicību par ieguldījumu, kas palīdz veidot godīgu un stabilu vidi Latvijas attīstībai. Jūsu uznēmuma piemērs ir iedvesma citiem un spilgti apliecina, ka atbildīga un caurskatāma uzņēmējdarbība ir pamats ilgtspējīgai izaugsmei. Jūsu uzņēmuma iekļaušana A reitinga grupā apliecina, ka Jūs ne tikai pildāt savas saistības, bet arī aktīvi stiprināt uzticēšanos valsts pārvaldei un veicināt godīgas konkurences principu īstenošanos. Šādu pieeju augstu novērtē gan publiskā pārvalde, gan uznēmējdarbības vide kopumā. Mēs redzam Jūsu paveikto kā ieguldījumu daudz plašākā kontekstā - nodrošinot darba vietas, maksājot nodokļus un darbojoties caurskatāmi, Jūs palīdzat veidot vidi, kurā ikviens var palauties uz noteikumu vienlīdzīgu ievērošanu un savstarpēju cienu. Šī ir būtiska sadarbības sastāvdala, kas stiprina arī sabiedrības uzticēšanos gan uznēmumiem, gan valstii. Valsts ienēmumu dienestam ir svarīgi veidot līdzsvarotas un uz sadarbību balstītas attiecības ar klientiem. Mēs augstu vērtējam uznēmumus, kuri ne tikai sasniedz labus finanšu rezultātus, bet arī apzinās savu lomu sabiedrībā. Jūsu piemērs apliecina, ka ilgtspēja un atbildība nav škērslis attīstībai, bet gan tās priekšnoteikums. Pateicamies Jums par līdzšinējo sadarbību, par ieguldījumu Latvijas nodokļu kultūras stiprināšanā un par to, ka esat dala no uznēmējdarbības vides, kurai var uzticēties. Kopā mēs veidojam ne tikai labvēlīgāku vidi uzņēmumiem, bet arī stiprāku, caurspīdīgāku un iekļaujošāku Latviju. Lai Jums spēks, izaugsme un ilgtspēja arī turpmākajos solos! Priecājamies par iespēju būt sadarbības partneriem, īstenojot kopīgu mērki -veidojot vēl labāku Latviju! Ar cienu Valsts ienēmumu dienesta ģenerāldirektore Baiba Šmite-Roķe 12.06.25 17:17 VID EDS - Elektroniskās deklarēšanas sistēma Dear cooperation partner! On behalf of the State Revenue Service, I would like to express my gratitude to you for your contribution, which helps to create a fair and stable environment for the development of Latvia. The example of your company is an inspiration to others and clearly demonstrates that responsible and transparent entrepreneurship is the basis for sustainable growth. The inclusion of your company in the A rating group confirms that you not only fulfill your obligations, but also actively strengthen trust in the state administration and promote the implementation of the principles of fair competition. Such an approach is highly appreciated by both the public administration and the business environment in general. We see your work as an investment in a much broader context – by providing jobs, paying taxes and operating transparently, you help create an environment in which everyone can rely



on equal respect for the rules and mutual respect. This is an essential component of cooperation, which also strengthens public trust in both companies and the state. It is important for the State Revenue Service to build balanced and cooperative relationships with clients. We highly value companies that not only achieve good financial results, but are also aware of their role in society. Your example proves that sustainability and responsibility are not an obstacle to development, but rather a prerequisite for it. We thank you for your cooperation so far, for your contribution to strengthening Latvia's tax culture, and for being part of a business environment who can be trusted. Together, we are creating not only a more favourable environment for businesses, but also a stronger, more transparent, and more inclusive Latvia. May you be strong, grow, and sustain in your future steps! We are happy to be partners in realizing a common goal – creating an even better Latvia! Sincerely General Director of the State Revenue Service Baiba Šmite-Roķe 12.06.25 17:17 SRS EDS - Electronic Declaration System

Response to the stakeholder: n/a



## 5 Report updates and approval

This document is: New Supply Base Report (Assessments/reassessments)

Summary of changes: N/A

Name	Ilze Ļutjanska
Title	Report author
Date of report approval	26 Jun 2025

Name	Māris Ziediņš
Title	Management representative
Date of report approval	26 Jun 2025



## **Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators**



## **Annex 2: EU RED Supply Base Evaluation**

Not Applicable (RED II SBE not included)



## Annex 3: SBP Processing residues and/or Post-consumer feedstock requirements

☐ Not Applicable (Processing Residues and/or post-consumer feedstock not used)

#### Verification and monitoring of suppliers

Each new supplier prior first supply is communicated and checked if he does comply with set requirements. Information is checked in FSC and/or PEFC data bases. Supplier signs contract and provides Self-declaration. Verification includes the following elements for each supplier: defined the necessary evidence, actions and record keeping procedures to show that feedstock received complies with the SBP definitions of secondary and tertiary. These records specify: Name and address of the supplier, type of Supplier, categories of feedstock supplied, level of control required, Self-declaration that the feedstock qualifies as processing residue according to the REDIII. In a three months supplier is visited or audited through remote audit if supplier doesn't store material physically (trader without physical possession). Once in a year those suppliers are audited where evidence are not sufficient in a point of receipt.

#### Feedstock inspection and classification upon receipt

Upon receipt, all processing residues is subject to visual inspection and is classified into secondary and tertiary feedstock. For all processing residues received documentary evidence that the feedstock comply with SBP definitions is retained. Different types of evidence are retained, including register information of weighing system, pictures (starts from April 2025), quality analysis reports, invoices, delivery notes and/or shipping documents. In cases where adequate evidence for the classification of feedstock as secondary and tertiary feedstock is not available at the point of receipt, the supplier is included in the supplier audit. In cases where feedstock received does not comply with purchase specifications and/or the quantities stated on the invoices are incorrect, immediate corrective actions are taken. These actions will be recorded and communicated to the CB during the annual audit.

#### Supplier audit for processing residues and post-consumer feedstock

The time of the visit is agreed upon in advance with the supplier. During the inspection, the accompanying documents of the incoming raw material are reviewed, issues such as volumes, species, certification statuses, origin checks, legality, regions of origin, production scheme, product classification, employee training are discussed. Samples of documented evidence are taken, and individual photographs are taken.



### Annex 4: EU RED detailed findings for Trees Outside Forest (TOF) feedstock

NOTE: For "Trees outside forests (TOF) – Urban and landscape feedstock" no EU RED sustainability requirements apply, only the GHG savings criteria apply (SBP EU RED Bridging ID v2.0 Section 1.1). The land use category in this case is neither forest land nor agricultural land. For "Trees outside forests (TOF) – Agricultural land feedstock" the applicable criteria are Article 29 paragraphs (2)-(5).

Not Applicable (RED II TOF not included)